UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/789,779	02/27/2004	Peter J. Burke	703538.4036	9431
ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP IP PROSECUTION DEPARTMENT			EXAMINER	
			PHASGE, ARUN S	
4 PARK PLAZA SUITE 1600 IRVINE, CA 92614-2558		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1795		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/17/2009	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/789,779	BURKE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Arun S. Phasge	1795				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 De</u>	ecember 2008.					
	action is non-final.					
<i>,</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-23,25-33 and 57</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5, 7-23, 25-33, 57</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> </ol>						
<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li></ul>						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
dee the attached detailed office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmont/s)						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application  6) Other:						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)  Other:						

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1-5, 7-23, 25-33, 57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaler of record for reasons of record.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 1-5, 7-23, 25-33 and 57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Burke of record for reasons of record.

Claims 1-5, 7-23, 25-33 and 57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hughes of record for reasons of record.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 12/4/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With regard to the Kaler reference, applicants argue that the "Kaler reference is in stark contract to the object of the present invention and a person of ordinary skill in the art would be unable to import the teachings of Kaler to Applicants' invention."

The claims, in particular 1-5, 7-19 are apparatus claims. The intended use limitations are given little or no patentable weight in apparatus claims. That the reference might have a thrust of purported innovation different from the claimed invention, does not render the disclosure of Kaler defective in teaching the instant application.

Applicants have not pointed out the structure being different from the claimed structure, in particular in claim 1 or the different method steps in claim 20.

With regard to the Burke article, applicants state that the reference formed the very basis of Applicants provisional application and would not be prior art.

Applicants have not shown that the reference was published after the date of the provisional application. In the alternative applicants can file a declaration which would overcome the date of the reference.

With regard to the Hughes references, applicants argue that the Hughes reference does not disclose electrodes electrically coupled to time-varying voltage sources.

The Hughes reference teaches the use of AC fields, which would be time varying voltage sources (see page 125). Furthermore, the reference teaches the particle manipulation (see page 125 second col.).

Applicants further argue that the Hughes reference fails to disclose the cylindrically shaped electrode, rather it contemplates electrodes all on the same plane.

The Hughes reference discloses the stacking of electrodes which would not be within the same plane and would encompass the claimed cylindrical structure (see page 127).

Accordingly, the claims stand rejected.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Arun S. Phasge whose telephone number is (571) 272-

1345. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY, 7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nam X. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Arun S. Phasge/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1795

asp